Affect Analysis of Textual Input Utterance in Japanese and its Application in Human-Computer Interaction

Michal Ptaszynski

Graduate School of Information Science and Technology Hokkaido University



Originality

- Developed a new approach to emotions in language: Emotemes
- Applied an old theory to a new task: Theory of kinesics in analysis of emoticons
- Made one step further in implementation of emotional intelligence in machines: Contextual appropriateness of emotions

Presentation Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Tools for Affect Analysis of Textual Input
 - ML-Ask: A System for Affect Analysis of Utterances in Japanese
 - CAO: A System for Analysis of Emoticons
- 3. Application of Emotive Information in Human-Computer Interaction
 - Method of Automatic Evaluation of Conversational Agents
 - Method of Verifying Contextual Appropriateness of Emotion
- 4. Concluding Remarks and Further Work

Presentation Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Tools for Affect Analysis of Textual Input
 - ML-Ask: A System for Affect Analysis of Utterances in Japanese
 - CAO: A System for Analysis of Emoticons
- 3. Application of Emotive Information in Human-Computer Interaction
 - Method of Automatic Evaluation of Conversational Agents
 - Method of Verifying Contextual Appropriateness of Emotion
- 4. Concluding Remarks and Further Work

Introduction

Introduction

Emotion recognition

- Facial expressions
- Voice
- Gestures
- Language









Introduction

Emotion recognition

- Facial expressions
- Voice
- Gestures
- Language

The semantic and pragmatic diversity of emotions is best conveyed in language*.







*) Robert C. Solomon. The Passions: Emotions and the Meaning of Life, Hackett Publishing, 1993.; Schrauf, Robert W. and Julia Sanchez (2004). The preponderance of negative emotion words across generations and across cultures. Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 25(2-3), 266-284.

Tools for Affect Analysis of Textual Input

ML-Ask: A System for Affect Analysis of Utterances in Japanese

- Some problems with today affect analysis systems (for Japanese)
 - No standards for emotion type classification
 - Simplified classification (+/-, happiness/sadness)
 - How to tell if the utterance is emotive?
 - No evaluations on large corpora

compare:

- Endo, D., Saito, S. and Yamamoto, K. Kakariuke kankei wo rivo shita kanjoseikihyogen no chushutsu.(Extracting expressions evoking emotions using dependency structure), Proceedings of The Twelve Annual Meeting of The Association for Natural Language Processing. 2006
 Tsuchiya, Seiji, Yoshimura, Eriko, Watabe, Hirokazu and Kawaoka, Tsukasa. The Method of the Emotion Judgment Based on an Association
 - Mechanism.
 - Journal of Natural Language Processing, Vol.14, No.3, The Association for Natural Language Processing. 2007 Ryoko Tokuhisa, Kentaro Inui, Yuji Matsumoto. Emotion Classification Using Massive Examples Extracted from the Web, In Proc. of Coling 2008,pp.881-888,2008.
- Peter D. Turney. 2002. Thumbs Up or Thumbs Down? Semantic Orientation Applied to Unsupervised Classification of Reviews. In Proceedings of ACL_02, pp. 417-424
- Jorge Teixeira, Vasco Vinhas, Eugenio Oliveira and Luis Reis. A New Approach to Emotion Assessment Based on Biometric Data. In Proceedings of WI-IAT'08, pages 459-500, 2008.
- 3 Ryoko Tokuhisa, Kentaro Inui, Yuji Matsumoto. Emotion Classification Using Massive Examples Extracted from the Web, In Proc. of Coling 2008,pp.881-888,2008.
 - Junko Minato, David B. Bracewell, Fuji Ren and Shingo Kuroiwa. 2006. Statistical Analysis of a Japanese Emotion Corpus for Natural Language Processing. LNCS 4114.

- Usual approach to affect analysis:
 - A database of emotive words *
 - Processing (Matching input with databases, machine learning, Web mining, word statistics, etc.)
 - Example: 太郎は楽しい人です "John is a nice person."
 Emotive expression: "nice"
 emotion: <u>liking, fondness</u>

...but that's just a *declarative sentence*. In a real conversation:

いや~でも、太郎って楽しいやつだよな! "Oh, but John is such a nice person!"

*) For example: WordNet Affect in English: Strapparava, C., Valitutti, A.: *An Affective Extension of WordNet*, Proceedings of LREC'04, pp.1083-1086.(2004) In Japanese: manually build: Seiji Tsuchiya, Eriko Yoshimura, Hirokazu Watabe and Tsukasa Kawaoka, Proposal of Method to Judge Speaker's Emotion Based on Association Mechanism, KES2007, Vol.1, pp.847-857, 2007; enriched with Web minig: Ryoko Tokuhisa, Kentaro Inui, and Yuji Matsumoto. Emotion classification using massive examples extracted from the Web. In Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Computational Linguistics (COLING-2008), pp881-888, Aug. 2008

• My approach to affect analysis:

In language there are:

- 1.Emotive/evaluative expressions*
- 2.Emotiveness indicators. "Emotemes" –

Japanese emotive morphemes** いや~でも、太郎って楽しいやつだよな! いや~でも、太郎って最低のやつだよな!

*) A. Nakamura, *Kanjō hyōgen jiten* (Dictionary of Emotive Expressions), Tokyodo Publishing, Tokyo (1993)

**) M. Ptaszyński, Moeru gengo - Intānetto kei-jiban no ue no nihongo kaiwa ni okeru kanjōhyōgen no kōzō to kigōrontekikinō no bunseki – "2channeru, denshikeijiban o rei toshite –(Boisterous language. Analysis of structures and semiotic functions of emotive expressions in conversation on Japanese Internet bulletin board forum - 2channel -), UAM, Poznań (2006) Michal Ptaszynski, Pawel Dybala, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki. Effective Analysis of Emotiveness in Utterances based on Features of Lexical and Non-Lexical Layer of Speech. In Proceedings of NLP2008, pp 171-174, 2008.

Michal Ptaszynski, Pawel Dybala, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki. Affecting Corpora: Experiments with Automatic Affect Annotation System - A Case Study of the 2channel Forum -, The Conference of the Pacific Association for Computational Linguistics (PACLING-09), September 1-4, 2009, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

• My approach to affect analysis:

In language there are:

- 1.Emotive/evaluative expressions*
- 2.Emotiveness indicators. "Emotemes" -

Japanese emotive morphemes** いや~でも、太郎って楽しいやつだよな! いや~でも、太郎って最低のやつだよな!

*) A. Nakamura, *Kanjō hyōgen jiten* (Dictionary of Emotive Expressions), Tokyodo Publishing, Tokyo (1993)

**) M. Ptaszyński, Moeru gengo - Intānetto kei-jiban no ue no nihongo kaiwa ni okeru kanjōhyōgen no kōzō to kigōrontekikinō no bunseki – "2channeru, denshikeijiban o rei toshite –(Boisterous language. Analysis of structures and semiotic functions of emotive expressions in conversation on Japanese Internet bulletin board forum - 2channel -), UAM, Poznań (2006) Michal Ptaszynski, Pawel Dybala, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki. Effective Analysis of Emotiveness in Utterances based on Features of Lexical and Non-Lexical Layer of Speech. In Proceedings of NLP2008, pp 171-174, 2008.

Michal Ptaszynski, Pawel Dybala, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki. Affecting Corpora: Experiments with Automatic Affect Annotation System - A Case Study of the 2channel Forum -, The Conference of the Pacific Association for Computational Linguistics (PACLING-09), September 1-4, 2009, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan



コンピュータは面白いですね! Konpyuuta wa omoshiroi desu ne! Oh, computers are so interesting!



Evaluation of ML-Ask

- Emotive / not-emotive
 - questionnaire (layperson): 80 sentences
 (40 emotive, 40 non-emotive)
 ML-Ask annotated correctly 72 from 80
 utterances (90% of agreements)
 - The system's agreement with annotators was indicated as very strong (kappa=.8).
 - Error description:
 In 2 cases the system wrongly annotated utterances as "emotive" in 6 cases it was the opposite.

Evaluation of ML-Ask

- Emotive / not-emotive
 - que ML-Ask proved its (40 emotive, 40 non-emotive) ML-Ask an reliability in utterances
 - identifying emotive
 - Error description: In 2 cases th **Utterances** tec3 utterances as "emotive" in 6 cases it was the opposite.

Experiment on a large corpus ④

- 2channel BBS forum (<u>http://www.2ch.net/</u>)
 - Special feature: lots of expressive contents



Experiment on a large corpus

- Processing all is... difficult
- Lets take only a part of it:
 - Densha otoko 電車男 (Train m
 - 177,553 characters
 - in 1,840 utterances
 - divided into 6 parts/chapters.



* Hitori Nakano. 2005. Densha otoko [Train man]. Tokyo, Shinchosha.

Experiment on a large corpus

- Processing all is... difficult
- Lets take only a part of it:
 - Densha otoko 電車男 (Train man)*
 - 177,553 characters
 - in 1,840 utterances
 - divided into 6 parts/chapters.
- ... Its annotated!
 - Manual affect annotation (Ptaszynski 2006)**
 - 2 annotators

* Hitori Nakano. 2005. Densha otoko [Train man]. Tokyo, Shinchosha.

^{**} Michal Ptaszynski. 2006. Boisterous language. Analysis of structures and semiotic functions of emotive expressions in conversation on Japanese Internet bulletin board forum '2channel', M.A. Dissertation, UAM, Poznan.

Experiment on a large corpus

- Processing all is... difficult
- Lets take only a part of it:
 - Densha otoko 電車男 (Train man)*
 - 177,553 characters
 - in 1,840 utterances
 - divided into 6 parts/chapters.
- ... Its annotated!
 - Manual affect annotation (Ptaszynski 2006)**
 - 2 annotators
 - I annotated the corpus using ML-Ask and compared the results.

^{*} Hitori Nakano. 2005. Densha otoko [Train man]. Tokyo, Shinchosha.

^{**} Michal Ptaszynski. 2006. Boisterous language. Analysis of structures and semiotic functions of emotive expressions in conversation on Japanese Internet bulletin board forum '2channel', M.A. Dissertation, UAM, Poznan.

- Emotion type annotation tendencies
 - Similar: 8/10 types (joy, anger, gloom, fear, shame/shyness, fondness, relief and surprise)
 - Problematic: 2/10 types (dislike, excitement)



- Emotion type annotation tendencies
 - Similar: 8/10 types (joy, anger, gloom, fear, shame/shyness, fondness, relief and surprise)
 - Problematic: 2/10 types (dislike, excitement)



- Emotion type annotation tendencies
 - Similar: 8/10 types (joy, anger, gloom, fear, shame/shyness, fondness, relief and surprise)
 - Problematic: 2/10 types (dislike, excitement)



- Emotion type annotation tendencies
 - Similar: 8/10 types (joy, anger, gloom, fear, shame/shyness, fondness, relief and surprise)
 Problematic: 2/10 types (dislike, excitement)
 WHY?

To express these two emotions in particular, *2channel* users mostly use slang (ASCII, unusual emoticons, etc. – difficult to process mechanically!).





- After excluding these two emotion types:
- 90% of agreements with human annotators
- with a good strength of agreement coefficient (Kappa = .681)
- Results were very statistically significant (P value = .0035).

Conclusions

- I presented ML-Ask, a system for automatic annotation of corpora with emotive information.
 – Emotive / non-emotive – high accuracy
- I performed an annotation experiment on a large corpus (discussions from a popular Japanese forum *2channel*).
- ML-Ask was successful in providing information on tendencies of emotive utterances.
- Need to deal with emoticons

Future Work

- Updating the emotive expressions lexicon
- Statistically disambiguate emotive affiliations of emotemes (e.g. an exclamation mark would be used with "excitement", rather than with "gloom")
- Experiments including large scale annotations of other natural dialogue corpora

CAO: A System for Analysis of Emoticons

Emoticons:

Emoticons are representations of body language in online communication (more-less).

щ(́□`)щ







Therefore...





Emoticons:

• Are an important in part of communication [1,2] in online communities (blogs, forums, BBS, e-

mails, chatrooms, etc.)



Suzuki, N. and Tsuda, K. 2006. Automatic emoticon generation method for web community, WBC2006, pp. 331-334.
 Derks, D., Bos, A.E.R., von Grumbkow, J. 2007. Emoticons and social interaction on the Internet: the importance of social context, Computers in Human Behavior, 23, pp. 842-849.

Emoticons:

- Can be roughly divided into:
 - 1-line Western (text-base or pictures)
 - 1-line Eastern
 - Multiline Eastern

Emoticons:

- Can be roughly divided into:
 - 1-line Western (text-base or pictures)











Emoticons:

- Can be roughly divided into:
 - 1-line Western (text-base or pictures)
 - 1-line Eastern
 - Multiline Eastern



Emoticons:

- Can be roughly
 - 1-line Wester
 - 1-line Eastern

– Multiline East




Emoticons:

- Can be roughly divided into:
 - 1-line Western (text-base or pictures)
 - 1-line Eastern
 - Multiline Eastern

I focused on these, because...

Emoticons:

- Can be roughly divided into:
 - 1-line Western
 - 1-line Eastern
- ← There already is some research
 + I was a little more ambitious

Emoticons:

- Can be roughly divided into:
 - 1-line Western
 - 1-line Eastern
- ← There already is some research + I was a little more ambitious

Only a little research done here



Since emoticons are representations of body language...



• Theory of kinesics:



- Non-verbal behavior is used in everyday communication systematically and can be described structurally.
- A minimal part = a kineme, the smallest meaningful set of body movements, e.g. raising eyebrows, etc.

Birdwhistell (1952, 1970)

Birdwhistell, R. L. 1952. Introduction to kinesics: an annotation system for analysis of body motion and gesture, University of Kentucky Press. Birdwhistell, R. L. 1970. Kinesics and Context, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia.

- Theory of kinesics:
- Non-verbal behavior is communication system described structurally.
- A minimal part = a kine meaningful set of body raising eyebrows, etc.

-0-	Blank-faced	\$ \$	Slitted eyes	
	Single raised	୦୦	Eyes upward	
	brow (indicates brow raised)	-0 0-	Shifty eyes	
- <i>C</i>	Lowered brow	`ര ര″	Glare	
~/	Medial brow	€	Tongue in cheek	
	contraction	\frown	Pout	
	Medial brow nods		Clenched teeth	
	Raised brows	Ø	Toothy smile	
00	Wide eyed		Square smile	
— o	Wink	\bigcirc	Open mouth	
99	Sidewise look	sOL	Slow lick—lips	
0) 0)	Focus on auditor	Ø@r	Quick lick—lips	
@ @	Stare	\approx	Moistening lips	
00	Rolled eyes	8	Lip biting	

Birdwhistell, R. L. 1952. Introduction to kinesics: a motion and gesture, University of Kentucky Press.

Birdwhistell, R. L. 1970. Kinesics and Context, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia.

Some examples: \(*^o^*)/ · ° · (/д`;) · ° · (;^ ^A (°.°) (^_-)y--~ (==┯==Д==┯==) (。·_·。)人(。·_·。)

-0-	Blank-faced	\$ \$	Slitted eyes
	Single raised	00	Eyes upward
	brow (-0 0-	Shifty eyes
	Lowered brow	`ര ര″	Glare
N	Medial brow	9	Tongue in cheek
	contraction	\frown	Pout
	Medial brow nods		Clenched teeth
$\overline{}$	Raised brows	Ø	Toothy smile
00	Wide eyed		Square smile
— o	Wink	\bigcirc	Open mouth
00	Sidewise look	sOL	Slow lick—lips
Q Q	Focus on auditor	Ø@r	Quick lick—lips
Ø Ø	Stare	\approx	Moistening lips
60	Rolled eyes	8	Lip biting



- \(*^o^*)/
 - Additional area:
 - Bracket:
 - Additional area:
 - Face:
 - Additional area:
 - Bracket:
 - Additional area:



• Visited 7 online emoticon dictionaries:

Face-mark Party, 2. Kaomo-jiya,
 Kao-moji-toshokan, 4. Kaomoji-café,
 Kaomoji Paradise, 6. Kaomojisyo and
 Kaomoji Station.



http://www.facemark.jp/facemark.htm, http://kaomojiya.com/, http://www.kaomoji.com/kao/text/, http://kaomoji-cafe.jp/, http://rsmz.net/kaopara/, http://matsucon.net/material/dic/, http://kaosute.net/jisyo/kanjou.shtml

• Used an affect analysis system to select and categorize only emotion-related labels.



Ptaszynski, M., Dybala, P., Rzepka, R. and Araki, K. 2009. Affecting Corpora: Experiments with Automatic Affect Annota-tion System - A Case Study of the 2channel Forum, In *Proceedings PACLING-09, pp. 223-228.*

- Used an affect analysis system to select and categorize only emotion-related labels.
- Extract emoticons only from labels related to emotions



Ptaszynski, M., Dybala, P., Rzepka, R. and Araki, K. 2009. Affecting Corpora: Experiments with Automatic Affect Annota-tion System - A Case Study of the 2channel Forum, In *Proceedings PACLING-09, pp. 223-228*.



• Obtained 10,137 unique emoticons classified with emotion types.

joy, delight	liking, fondness	anger	surprise, amazement	sadness, gloom	excite- cite- ment	dis- like	shame, shyness	fear	relief	Over- all	Emoticons
3128	1988	1238	1227	1203	1124	704	526	179	99	11416	All extracted
1972	1972	1221	1196	1169	1120	698	511	179	99	10137	Unique
63%	99%	99%	97%	97%	99%	99%	97%	100%	100%	89%	Ratio

\(*^0^*)/



- Automatically divide emoticons into:
 - Eyes [E]: ^ ^

\(*^0^*)/

- Mouths [M]: o
- Additional areas (inside emoticon) [S]: * *
- Additional areas (outside emoticon) [S]: \ /



- We have a set of databases!
 - Raw emoticons
 - Triplets (E-M-E)
 - Eyes (E-E)
 - Mouths (M)
 - Additional (S)

\(*^o^*)/

joy, delight	liking, fondness	anger	surprise, amazement	sadness, gloom	excite- cite- ment	dis- like	shame, shyness	fear	relief	Over- all	Emoticons
3128	1988	1238	1227	1203	1124	704	526	179	99	11416	All extracted
1972	1972	1221	1196	1169	1120	698	511	179	99	10137	Unique
63%	99%	99%	97%	97%	99%	99%	97%	100%	100%	89%	Ratio
areas		E _L ME _R	S_1	B_1	S_2	ELI	E _R	М	S ₃	B_2	S_4
joy, d	elight	1298	1469		653	34	9	336	671		2449
anger		741	525		321	18	8	239	330		1014
sadne	SS,	702	350		303	29	1	170	358		730
fear		124	72		67	52	2	62	74		133
shame shyne		315	169		121	11	0	85	123		343
liking fondn	·	1079	1092		802	30	5	239	805		1633
dislike	e	527	337		209	16	1	179	201		562
excite	ment	670	700		268	24	3	164	324		1049
relief		81	50		11	38	3	26	27		64
surpr amazo	ise, ement	648	405		231	18	3	154	279		860
overa	Ш	6185	5169	-	2986	192	20 1	1654	3192	-	8837

Already annotated with emotion types!







Constructed CAO system for emoticon analysis with these databases.

- Emoticon detection in (any) input
 - Use 455 characters most frequently (>10 times) appearing in emoticons (x1,x2,...x455)
 - If (any three x appear in a row) { there is an emoticon in input



- Emoticon extraction from input (+ affect analysis)
 - Three steps:
 - 1. Looking for a "raw" emoticon (+checking emotion labels)



- Emoticon extraction from input (+ affect analysis)
 - Three steps:
 - 1. Looking for a "raw" emoticon (+checking emotion labels)



2. Looking for a triplet (+checking emotion labels)



- Emoticon extraction from input (+ affect analysis)
 - Three steps:
 - 1. Looking for a "raw" emoticon (+checking emotion labels)
 - 2. Looking for a triplet (+checking emotion labels)

If no triplet

3. Checking all combinations of triplets (eyes x mouth*)



*)Eyes=1,920 Mouths=1,654 All combinations: ExM=3,175,680

- Emoticon extraction from input
 - Finally:
 - Extract additional areas (+checking emotion labels)



- Emoticon extraction from input
 - Finally:
 - Extract additional areas (+checking emotion labels)
 - Summarize scores (to determine emotion types statistically most probable for this emoticon)



- Test set
 - A large corpus of blogs from: Ameba Blog*
 - Over 350 million sentences in Japanese

 Randomly extracted 1000 middle-sized* sentences as the test set

Test Set

Gold

standard

- 418 of those sentences included text-base emoticons.
 - annotate emoticons from the sentences (different samples than in sentences)

Question: What emotion could be expressed with this emoticon?

Answers (emotion type, random order): a) System's;
 b) Similar**; c) Completely different; d) Other (from the seven remaining);

*)20-50 characters in Japanese **) From the same affect space in two-dimensional model of affect

• Results

Detection							
		System					
		Emoticon	No emoticon				
Users	Emoticon	394	24				
	No emoticon	0	582				
No. of agreements= $976(97.6\%)$, Kappa= 0.95							

In 24/418 cases there were no 3 usual chars in a row

• Results



- Results
- Emotion Estimation on Separate Emoticons

 Emotion types: 93.54%

Accuracy in determining probable emotion types a certain emoticon could be used to express

Conclusions

- Presented a prototype system for automatic affect analysis of Eastern type emoticons, CAO.
- Inspired by Theory of Kinesics
- Gathered database of +10,000 emoticons and (almost) automatically expanded it to +3 mln.

Conclusions

- CAO is capable of:
 - Detecting emoticons in any input
 - Extracting emoticons form input
 - Dividing emoticons into semantic areas (eyes, mouths, etc.)
 - Estimating potential emotion types expressed by emoticons.
- CAO got almost ideal results in all tasks.

Future Work (CAO)

Possible applications:

- Affect analysis/annotation of corpora
- Emotion detecting in online communication
 - Support for Internet messengers, blog services, forums, etc.
- Sentiment analysis (when looking only at valence)
- Detecting irony*

^{*)} Carvalho, P., Sarmento, L., Silva, M. J., and de Oliveira, E. 2009. Clues for detecting irony in user-generated contents: oh..!! it's "so easy" ;-). In Proceeding of the 1st international CIKM Workshop on Topic-Sentiment Analysis For Mass Opinion (Hong Kong, China, November 06 - 06, 2009)

Application of Emotive Information in Human-Computer Interaction

How to improve HCI?

- Development
 - Make evaluation methods more efficient
- Performance
 - Make Appearance more natural
 - Make machine more aware of user behavior

How to improve HCI?

- Development
 - Make evaluation methods more efficient
- Performance
 - Make Appearance more natural
 - Make machine more aware of user behavior

Method of Verifying Contextual Appropriateness of Emotion

Method of Verifying Contextual **Appropriateness of Emotion** When do we What do we need to talk? expect?



• Sympathy / Empathy

- Consolation
- Emotion Management • Cheer
 - Praise
 - Counsel
 - etc.
Method of Verifying Contextual **Appropriateness of Emotion** What do we When do we A conversational agent with emotional intelligence could help people manage their emotions! Happy

73

Method of Verifying Contextual Appropriateness of Emotion

Emotional Intelligence

1990. Peter Salovey & John D. Mayer – **Emotional** Intelligence ¹ The ability to <u>recognize emotions</u>, to discriminate among them and <u>to use it to</u> <u>guide one's thinking and actions</u>.

Method of Verifying Contextual Appropriateness of Emotion



Method of Verifying Contextual Appropriateness of Emotion





ML-Ask and CAO provide information on the expression of emotion in utterance.

うれしい!
 "Oh, I'm so happy! (^o^)" [joy, happiness]

- 悲しいよ...

"Oh, I'm so depressed... ·°·(/Д`;)·° ·" [depression]

 - 試験に合格してうれしい!
 [joy, happiness]
 "Oh, I'm so happy (because) I passed the exam!"

一彼女に振られて悲しい…
 (depression)
 (Oh, I'm is so depressed (because) my girlfriend left…"

- - 試験に合格してうれしい!
 [joy, happiness]
 "Oh, I'm so happy (because) I passed the exam!"
- あの野郎が車に引かれたと聞いてすっきり! [joy, happiness] "Oh, I'm so happy (because) that bastard was hit by a car!"
- 一彼女に振られて悲しい…
 (depression)
 (Oh, I'm is so depressed (because) my girlfriend left…"
- バレンタイン・デーが来るから悲しいね… [depression]
 "Oh, I'm so depressed (because) the Valentine's Day is coming…"











Originality! Appropriateness of Emotions



	Negative		Positive						
Appropriate	User: I hate him for making a fool of me in front of everyone. ML-Ask:dislike; Web mining:anger, dislike Agent: Yeah, you have a reason to		User: I'm so happy I passed the exam! ML-Ask:joy; Web mining:joy, excitement Agent: Yeah! That's great!						
Appro			tions, when ffect Model	[sympathy]					
Inappropriate	User: I'm so depressed because St. Valentines day is coming. ML-Ask:dislike, depression; Web mining:excitement, joy Agent: You should be happy! [consolation]		User: I'd be happy if that bastard was hit by a car! ML-Ask:joy; Web mining:fear, sadness Agent: Are you sure this is what you really feel? [counse1]						

Evaluation experiment

- 13 user-participants
- 2 conversational agents
 - Modalin: modality¹
 - Pundalin: modality + puns²
- 10-turn conversation



I processed the conversations from the previous experiment with this method.

- 26 conversations (6 had no specified emotions)
 -> 20 conversation sets
- affect analysis, verification

¹⁾ Shinsuke Higuchi, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki. A Casual Conversation System Using Modality and Word Associations Retrieved from the Web. In Proceedings of the EMNLP 2008, pages 382-390, 2008.

Pawel Dybala, Michal Ptaszynski, Shinsuke Higuchi, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki. Humor Prevails! – Implementing a Joke Generator into a Conversational System, LNAI 5360:214-225, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

Evaluation experiment

- Results of verification procedure evaluated by a questionnaire
- Questionnaire:
 - Are the emotions positive / negative?
 - What were the emotion types?
 - Were the emotions appropriate for the situation?
- 20 sets / Every set evaluated by 10 people (≠users)
- Overall 200 different evaluations

Results

- Calculated number of people who agreed with the system per case.
- Majority rule (at least half of the people per case agreed)
- Evaluated items:
 - A) Affect analysis / types (ML-Ask + CAO)
 - B) Affect analysis / valence (ML-Ask + CAO)
 - C) Appropriateness verification of emotion types
 - D) Appropriateness verification of emotion valence

Results

- A) Affect analysis / types (ML-Ask + CAO)
- B) Affect analysis / valence (ML-Ask + CAO)
- A) = 89%
- B) = 93%
- C) Appropriateness verification of emotion types
- D) Appropriateness verification of emotion valence
- C) = 70%
- D) = 80%



Computing contextual appropriateness of emotions is a feasible task.



Presented method uses:

Affect analysis system to recognize user's emotions... Web mining technique to verify their contextual appropriateness

Conclusions

- Agent equipped with our system can determine what communication strategy is the most desirable
- Applications
 - Personal conversational agent (free counselor for stress management, 24h/7/365)
 - Toy-companion for kids (as a part of education & safety application)





- Idea of Contextual Appropriateness of Emotions implies:
 - Expressed emotion (both + and -) can be appropriate or inappropriate for its context.
- If an emotion is appropriate:
 - Everything's fine (familiarization with user)
- If an emotion is not appropriate:
 - Alarm ("something is not right!")
- Future Work:
 - Understanding the character of the alarm
 - (A symptom of depression? Dangerous thoughts? Irony?)

Michal Ptaszynski, Pawel Dybala, Wenhan Shi, Rafal Rzepka and Kenji Araki: "Towards Context Aware Emotional Intelligence in Machines: Computing Contextual Appropriateness of Affective States", In Proceedings of Twenty-first International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-09), Pasadena, California, USA, 2009, pp. 1469-1474

Summary



- Developed a new approach to emotions in language: Emotemes
 → ML-Ask (emotive/non-emotive)
- Applied an old theory to a new task: Theory of kinesics in analysis of emoticons
 → CAO (near ideal results)
- Made one step further in implementation of emotional intelligence in machines:
 - \rightarrow Contextual appropriateness of emotions

Future Work

- Improve ML-Ask
 - Enlarge databases
 - Disambiguate emotive type affiliation of emotemes
- Improve Web mining
 - Mine only specified areas (blogs, forums)
 - Make standalone system (use on a large corpus)
- Experiments on different corpora

 natural conversations, forums, chat-room logs
- Implementation in conversational agent

 specify the conversational strategies for each case

Future Work



Deal with the rest of abilities

Thank you for your kind attention.